

Virasoro-Shapiro amplitudes in AdS

Shai M. Chester
Imperial College London

Based on: `arXiv:2412.06429`, with D. Zhong
`arXiv:2412.08689` with T. Hansen and D. Zhong
and earlier work

Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude

- The VS amplitude is the most basic quantity in string theory:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \frac{\Gamma(-S)\Gamma(-T)\Gamma(-U)}{\Gamma(S+1)\Gamma(T+1)\Gamma(U+1)}$$

- $S = -(p_1 + p_2)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $T = -(p_1 + p_3)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $U = -S - T$ are Mandelstam variables in terms of 10d momenta.
- Describes scattering of closed strings at tree level (leading string coupling g_s), but at finite energy (string length ℓ_s).
- Computed using worldsheet as 2d integral:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -\frac{1}{3U^2} \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} + \text{crossed}.$$

Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude

- The VS amplitude is the most basic quantity in string theory:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \frac{\Gamma(-S)\Gamma(-T)\Gamma(-U)}{\Gamma(S+1)\Gamma(T+1)\Gamma(U+1)}$$

- $S = -(p_1 + p_2)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $T = -(p_1 + p_3)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $U = -S - T$ are Mandelstam variables in terms of 10d momenta.
- Describes scattering of closed strings at tree level (leading string coupling g_s), but at finite energy (string length ℓ_s).
- Computed using worldsheet as 2d integral:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -\frac{1}{3U^2} \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} + \text{crossed}.$$

Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude

- The VS amplitude is the most basic quantity in string theory:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \frac{\Gamma(-S)\Gamma(-T)\Gamma(-U)}{\Gamma(S+1)\Gamma(T+1)\Gamma(U+1)}$$

- $S = -(p_1 + p_2)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $T = -(p_1 + p_3)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $U = -S - T$ are Mandelstam variables in terms of 10d momenta.
- Describes scattering of closed strings at tree level (leading string coupling g_s), but at finite energy (string length ℓ_s).
- Computed using worldsheet as 2d integral:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -\frac{1}{3U^2} \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} + \text{crossed}.$$

Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude

- The VS amplitude is the most basic quantity in string theory:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \frac{\Gamma(-S)\Gamma(-T)\Gamma(-U)}{\Gamma(S+1)\Gamma(T+1)\Gamma(U+1)}$$

- $S = -(p_1 + p_2)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $T = -(p_1 + p_3)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $U = -S - T$ are Mandelstam variables in terms of 10d momenta.
- Describes scattering of closed strings at tree level (leading string coupling g_s), but at finite energy (string length ℓ_s).
- Computed using worldsheet as 2d integral:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -\frac{1}{3U^2} \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} + \text{crossed}.$$

Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude

- The VS amplitude is the most basic quantity in string theory:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \frac{\Gamma(-S)\Gamma(-T)\Gamma(-U)}{\Gamma(S+1)\Gamma(T+1)\Gamma(U+1)}$$

- $S = -(p_1 + p_2)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $T = -(p_1 + p_3)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $U = -S - T$ are Mandelstam variables in terms of 10d momenta.
- Describes scattering of closed strings at tree level (leading string coupling g_s), but at finite energy (string length ℓ_s).
- Computed using worldsheet as 2d integral:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -\frac{1}{3U^2} \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} + \text{crossed}.$$

Virasoro-Shapiro amplitude

- The VS amplitude is the most basic quantity in string theory:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \frac{\Gamma(-S)\Gamma(-T)\Gamma(-U)}{\Gamma(S+1)\Gamma(T+1)\Gamma(U+1)}$$

- $S = -(p_1 + p_2)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $T = -(p_1 + p_3)^2 \ell_s^2 / 4$, $U = -S - T$ are Mandelstam variables in terms of 10d momenta.
- Describes scattering of closed strings at tree level (leading string coupling g_s), but at finite energy (string length ℓ_s).
- Computed using worldsheet as 2d integral:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -\frac{1}{3U^2} \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} + \text{crossed}.$$

Properties of VS

- VS has poles in S, T, U that correspond to massless graviton multiplet, as well as tower of massive $O(\ell_s^{-2})$ string modes.
- In the high energy limit $S, T \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed S/T [Gross, Mende '88] :

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) \sim e^{-2S \log |S| - 2T \log |T| - 2U \log |U|}$$

- Exponentially softer than particle scattering.
- Low energy expansion $S, T \rightarrow 0$:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -1/(STU) - 2\zeta(3) + (S^2 + T^2 + U^2)\zeta(5) + \dots$$

- Leading is graviton multiplet exchange, polynomial corrections are contact terms from higher derivative corrections $D^n R^4$.

Properties of VS

- VS has poles in S, T, U that correspond to massless graviton multiplet, as well as tower of massive $O(\ell_s^{-2})$ string modes.
- In the high energy limit $S, T \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed S/T [Gross, Mende '88]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) \sim e^{-2S \log |S| - 2T \log |T| - 2U \log |U|}$$

- Exponentially softer than particle scattering.
- Low energy expansion $S, T \rightarrow 0$:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -1/(STU) - 2\zeta(3) + (S^2 + T^2 + U^2)\zeta(5) + \dots$$

- Leading is graviton multiplet exchange, polynomial corrections are contact terms from higher derivative corrections $D^n R^4$.

Properties of VS

- VS has poles in S, T, U that correspond to massless graviton multiplet, as well as tower of massive $O(\ell_s^{-2})$ string modes.
- In the high energy limit $S, T \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed S/T [Gross, Mende '88]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) \sim e^{-2S \log |S| - 2T \log |T| - 2U \log |U|}$$

- Exponentially softer than particle scattering.
- Low energy expansion $S, T \rightarrow 0$:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -1/(STU) - 2\zeta(3) + (S^2 + T^2 + U^2)\zeta(5) + \dots$$

- Leading is graviton multiplet exchange, polynomial corrections are contact terms from higher derivative corrections $D^n R^4$.

Properties of VS

- VS has poles in S, T, U that correspond to massless graviton multiplet, as well as tower of massive $O(\ell_s^{-2})$ string modes.
- In the high energy limit $S, T \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed S/T [Gross, Mende '88]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) \sim e^{-2S \log |S| - 2T \log |T| - 2U \log |U|}$$

- Exponentially softer than particle scattering.
- Low energy expansion $S, T \rightarrow 0$:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -1/(STU) - 2\zeta(3) + (S^2 + T^2 + U^2)\zeta(5) + \dots$$

- Leading is graviton multiplet exchange, polynomial corrections are contact terms from higher derivative corrections $D^n R^4$.

Properties of VS

- VS has poles in S, T, U that correspond to massless graviton multiplet, as well as tower of massive $O(\ell_s^{-2})$ string modes.
- In the high energy limit $S, T \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed S/T [Gross, Mende '88]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) \sim e^{-2S \log |S| - 2T \log |T| - 2U \log |U|}$$

- Exponentially softer than particle scattering.
- Low energy expansion $S, T \rightarrow 0$:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -1/(STU) - 2\zeta(3) + (S^2 + T^2 + U^2)\zeta(5) + \dots$$

- Leading is graviton multiplet exchange, polynomial corrections are contact terms from higher derivative corrections $D^n R^4$.

Properties of VS

- VS has poles in S, T, U that correspond to massless graviton multiplet, as well as tower of massive $O(\ell_s^{-2})$ string modes.
- In the high energy limit $S, T \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed S/T [Gross, Mende '88]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) \sim e^{-2S \log |S| - 2T \log |T| - 2U \log |U|}$$

- Exponentially softer than particle scattering.
- Low energy expansion $S, T \rightarrow 0$:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -1/(STU) - 2\zeta(3) + (S^2 + T^2 + U^2)\zeta(5) + \dots$$

- Leading is graviton multiplet exchange, polynomial corrections are contact terms from higher derivative corrections $D^n R^4$.

Properties of VS

- VS has poles in S, T, U that correspond to massless graviton multiplet, as well as tower of massive $O(\ell_s^{-2})$ string modes.
- In the high energy limit $S, T \rightarrow \infty$ with fixed S/T [Gross, Mende '88]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) \sim e^{-2S \log |S| - 2T \log |T| - 2U \log |U|}$$

- Exponentially softer than particle scattering.
- Low energy expansion $S, T \rightarrow 0$:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = -1/(STU) - 2\zeta(3) + (S^2 + T^2 + U^2)\zeta(5) + \dots$$

- Leading is graviton multiplet exchange, polynomial corrections are contact terms from higher derivative corrections $D^n R^4$.

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times CP^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times CP^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

String theory in AdS

- Only non-perturbative definition of string theory in AdS, e.g.:
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5 \Leftrightarrow 4d \mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ SYM.
 - IIA string theory on $AdS_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \Leftrightarrow 3d U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ ABJM.
 - IIB string theory on $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4 \Leftrightarrow 2d (M_4)^N / S_N$.
- Closed string scattering \Leftrightarrow correlator of stress-tensor multiplet.
 - Tree level in string theory \Leftrightarrow leading $1/N$ in CFT.
- But AdS VS hard to compute because:
 - AdS backgrounds involve RR flux, worldsheet not understood well in that case (tho hope from pure spinor and string field theory).
 - Dual CFT strongly coupled at large N .

This talk

- Bootstrap AdS VS in expansion around flat space for each AdS/CFT pair using two assumptions:
 - 1 Amplitude expanded in AdS around flat space given by flat space limit [Penedones '10] (i.e. Borel transform) of CFT correlator.
 - 2 Amplitude given by worldsheet integral of certain single valued multiple polylogarithms (SVMPLs).
- Originally for $\text{AdS}_5/\text{CFT}_4$ [Alday, Hansen, Silva '22], and now $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ [SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24] and $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ [SMC, Zhong '24].
- Extract data of single-trace operators, compare to integrability!

This talk

- Bootstrap AdS VS in expansion around flat space for each AdS/CFT pair using two assumptions:
 - ① Amplitude expanded in AdS around flat space given by flat space limit [Penedones '10] (i.e. Borel transform) of CFT correlator.
 - ② Amplitude given by worldsheet integral of certain single valued multiple polylogarithms (SVMPLs).
- Originally for $\text{AdS}_5/\text{CFT}_4$ [Alday, Hansen, Silva '22], and now $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ [SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24] and $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ [SMC, Zhong '24].
- Extract data of single-trace operators, compare to integrability!

This talk

- Bootstrap AdS VS in expansion around flat space for each AdS/CFT pair using two assumptions:
 - 1 Amplitude expanded in AdS around flat space given by flat space limit [Penedones '10] (i.e. Borel transform) of CFT correlator.
 - 2 Amplitude given by worldsheet integral of certain single valued multiple polylogarithms (SVMPLs).
- Originally for $\text{AdS}_5/\text{CFT}_4$ [Alday, Hansen, Silva '22], and now $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ [SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24] and $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ [SMC, Zhong '24].
- Extract data of single-trace operators, compare to integrability!

This talk

- Bootstrap AdS VS in expansion around flat space for each AdS/CFT pair using two assumptions:
 - ① Amplitude expanded in AdS around flat space given by flat space limit [Penedones '10] (i.e. Borel transform) of CFT correlator.
 - ② Amplitude given by worldsheet integral of certain single valued multiple polylogarithms (SVMPLs).
- Originally for $\text{AdS}_5/\text{CFT}_4$ [Alday, Hansen, Silva '22], and now $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ [SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24] and $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ [SMC, Zhong '24].
- Extract data of single-trace operators, compare to integrability!

This talk

- Bootstrap AdS VS in expansion around flat space for each AdS/CFT pair using two assumptions:
 - ① Amplitude expanded in AdS around flat space given by flat space limit [Penedones '10] (i.e. Borel transform) of CFT correlator.
 - ② Amplitude given by worldsheet integral of certain single valued multiple polylogarithms (SVMPLs).
- Originally for $\text{AdS}_5/\text{CFT}_4$ [Alday, Hansen, Silva '22] , and now $\text{AdS}_4/\text{CFT}_3$ [SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24] and $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ [SMC, Zhong '24] .
- Extract data of single-trace operators, compare to integrability!

Holographic correlator

- Consider CFT operator \mathcal{O} dual to graviton mode in AdS.
- Expand correlator of \mathcal{O} 's in superblocks $G_{\Delta,\ell}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} G_{\Delta,\ell}(U, V) + \text{prot}$$

- $U = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ and $V = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ are conformal cross ratios.
- $G_{\Delta,\ell}$ for each unprotected supermultiplet, are linear combos of conformal blocks as fixed by superconformal symmetry.
- Protected multiplets have fixed Δ , won't matter for expansion around flat space where $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$.

Holographic correlator

- Consider CFT operator \mathcal{O} dual to graviton mode in AdS.
- Expand correlator of \mathcal{O} 's in superblocks $G_{\Delta,\ell}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} G_{\Delta,\ell}(U, V) + \text{prot}$$

- $U = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ and $V = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ are conformal cross ratios.
- $G_{\Delta,\ell}$ for each unprotected supermultiplet, are linear combos of conformal blocks as fixed by superconformal symmetry.
- Protected multiplets have fixed Δ , won't matter for expansion around flat space where $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$.

Holographic correlator

- Consider CFT operator \mathcal{O} dual to graviton mode in AdS.
- Expand correlator of \mathcal{O} 's in superblocks $G_{\Delta,\ell}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} G_{\Delta,\ell}(U, V) + \text{prot}$$

- $U = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ and $V = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ are conformal cross ratios.
- $G_{\Delta,\ell}$ for each unprotected supermultiplet, are linear combos of conformal blocks as fixed by superconformal symmetry.
- Protected multiplets have fixed Δ , won't matter for expansion around flat space where $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$.

Holographic correlator

- Consider CFT operator \mathcal{O} dual to graviton mode in AdS.
- Expand correlator of \mathcal{O} 's in superblocks $G_{\Delta,\ell}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} G_{\Delta,\ell}(U, V) + \text{prot}$$

- $U = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ and $V = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ are conformal cross ratios.
- $G_{\Delta,\ell}$ for each unprotected supermultiplet, are linear combos of conformal blocks as fixed by superconformal symmetry.
- Protected multiplets have fixed Δ , won't matter for expansion around flat space where $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$.

Holographic correlator

- Consider CFT operator \mathcal{O} dual to graviton mode in AdS.
- Expand correlator of \mathcal{O} 's in superblocks $G_{\Delta,\ell}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} G_{\Delta,\ell}(U, V) + \text{prot}$$

- $U = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ and $V = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ are conformal cross ratios.
- $G_{\Delta,\ell}$ for each unprotected supermultiplet, are linear combos of conformal blocks as fixed by superconformal symmetry.
- Protected multiplets have fixed Δ , won't matter for expansion around flat space where $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$.

Holographic correlator

- Consider CFT operator \mathcal{O} dual to graviton mode in AdS.
- Expand correlator of \mathcal{O} 's in superblocks $G_{\Delta,\ell}$:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \mathcal{O}(x_3) \mathcal{O}(x_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{x_{12}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} x_{34}^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}} \sum_{\Delta,\ell} G_{\Delta,\ell}(U, V) + \text{prot}$$

- $U = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ and $V = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$ are conformal cross ratios.
- $G_{\Delta,\ell}$ for each unprotected supermultiplet, are linear combos of conformal blocks as fixed by superconformal symmetry.
- Protected multiplets have fixed Δ , won't matter for expansion around flat space where $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Large N

- Write correlator $H(U, V)$ in Mellin space ($u + s + t = 4\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$):

$$H(U, V) = \int \frac{ds dt}{(4\pi i)^2} U^{\frac{s}{2}} V^{\frac{t}{2} - \Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{s}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{t}{2}]^2 \Gamma[\Delta_{\mathcal{O}} - \frac{u}{2}]^2 \mathcal{M}(s, t)$$

- Poles in s, t, u correspond to Δ of exchanged operators.
- Γ 's take into account all double trace poles.
- Planar $\mathcal{M}(s, t) \equiv M(s, t)/N^{\#} + \dots$ (what we consider in this talk):

$$M(s, t) = \text{sugra}(s, t) + \sum_i^{\infty} b_i(\lambda) P_i(s, t)$$

- sugra is exchange of graviton multiplet, is meromorphic in s, t .
- P_i are degree i polynomials in s, t , are contact terms from higher derivative terms, $b_i(\lambda)$ depend on AdS radius $(R/\ell_s)^4 \sim \lambda$.

Expanding flat space into AdS

- Get flat space amplitude $A^{(0)}(S, T)$ from $M(s, t)$ [Penedones '10]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi i} e^{\alpha} \alpha^{d/2-2\Delta_O} M\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}S}{\alpha}, \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}T}{\alpha}\right),$$

- **Assume** formula gives AdS corrections $A(S, T) = A^{(0)} + \frac{A^{(1)}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$
- Also expand tree CFT data (single trace operators, $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$):

$$\tau(\delta, \ell) = \tau_0(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau_1(\delta, \ell) + \tau_2(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \dots,$$

$$\lambda_{\tau, \ell}^2 = \frac{f(\delta, \ell)}{4^{\tau(\delta, \ell)} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \tau(\delta, \ell)\right)}, \quad f(\delta, \ell) = f_0(\delta, \ell) + \frac{f_1(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/4}} + \frac{f_2(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/2}} + \dots$$

- Apply to block expansion: $A(S, T) \sim \sum_{\ell, \delta} \lambda_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^{(i)}(S, T)}{\lambda^{i/4}}.$

Expanding flat space into AdS

- Get flat space amplitude $A^{(0)}(S, T)$ from $M(s, t)$ [Penedones '10]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi i} e^{\alpha} \alpha^{d/2-2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} M\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}S}{\alpha}, \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}T}{\alpha}\right),$$

- **Assume** formula gives AdS corrections $A(S, T) = A^{(0)} + \frac{A^{(1)}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$
- Also expand tree CFT data (single trace operators, $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$):

$$\tau(\delta, \ell) = \tau_0(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau_1(\delta, \ell) + \tau_2(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \dots,$$

$$\lambda_{\tau, \ell}^2 = \frac{f(\delta, \ell)}{4\tau(\delta, \ell) \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\tau(\delta, \ell))}, \quad f(\delta, \ell) = f_0(\delta, \ell) + \frac{f_1(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/4}} + \frac{f_2(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/2}} + \dots$$

- Apply to block expansion: $A(S, T) \sim \sum_{\ell, \delta} \lambda_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^{(i)}(S, T)}{\lambda^{i/4}}.$

Expanding flat space into AdS

- Get flat space amplitude $A^{(0)}(S, T)$ from $M(s, t)$ [Penedones '10]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi i} e^{\alpha} \alpha^{d/2-2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} M\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}S}{\alpha}, \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}T}{\alpha}\right),$$

- **Assume** formula gives AdS corrections $A(S, T) = A^{(0)} + \frac{A^{(1)}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$
- Also expand tree CFT data (single trace operators, $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$):

$$\tau(\delta, \ell) = \tau_0(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau_1(\delta, \ell) + \tau_2(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \dots,$$

$$\lambda_{\tau, \ell}^2 = \frac{f(\delta, \ell)}{4\tau(\delta, \ell) \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\tau(\delta, \ell))}, \quad f(\delta, \ell) = f_0(\delta, \ell) + \frac{f_1(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/4}} + \frac{f_2(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/2}} + \dots$$

- Apply to block expansion: $A(S, T) \sim \sum_{\ell, \delta} \lambda_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^{(i)}(S, T)}{\lambda^{i/4}}.$

Expanding flat space into AdS

- Get flat space amplitude $A^{(0)}(S, T)$ from $M(s, t)$ [Penedones '10]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi i} e^{\alpha} \alpha^{d/2-2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} M\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}S}{\alpha}, \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}T}{\alpha}\right),$$

- **Assume** formula gives AdS corrections $A(S, T) = A^{(0)} + \frac{A^{(1)}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$
- Also expand tree CFT data (single trace operators, $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$):

$$\tau(\delta, \ell) = \tau_0(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau_1(\delta, \ell) + \tau_2(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \dots,$$

$$\lambda_{\tau, \ell}^2 = \frac{f(\delta, \ell)}{4\tau(\delta, \ell) \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}\tau(\delta, \ell))}, \quad f(\delta, \ell) = f_0(\delta, \ell) + \frac{f_1(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/4}} + \frac{f_2(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/2}} + \dots$$

- Apply to block expansion: $A(S, T) \sim \sum_{\ell, \delta} \lambda_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^{(i)}(S, T)}{\lambda^{i/4}}.$

Expanding flat space into AdS

- Get flat space amplitude $A^{(0)}(S, T)$ from $M(s, t)$ [Penedones '10]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi i} e^{\alpha} \alpha^{d/2-2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} M\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}S}{\alpha}, \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}T}{\alpha}\right),$$

- **Assume** formula gives AdS corrections $A(S, T) = A^{(0)} + \frac{A^{(1)}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$
- Also expand tree CFT data (single trace operators, $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$):

$$\tau(\delta, \ell) = \tau_0(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau_1(\delta, \ell) + \tau_2(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \dots,$$

$$\lambda_{\tau, \ell}^2 = \frac{f(\delta, \ell)}{4^{\tau(\delta, \ell)} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \tau(\delta, \ell)\right)}, \quad f(\delta, \ell) = f_0(\delta, \ell) + \frac{f_1(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/4}} + \frac{f_2(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/2}} + \dots$$

- Apply to block expansion: $A(S, T) \sim \sum_{\ell, \delta} \lambda_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^{(i)}(S, T)}{\lambda^{i/4}}.$

Expanding flat space into AdS

- Get flat space amplitude $A^{(0)}(S, T)$ from $M(s, t)$ [Penedones '10]:

$$A^{(0)}(S, T) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\alpha}{2\pi i} e^{\alpha} \alpha^{d/2-2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}} M\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}S}{\alpha}, \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}T}{\alpha}\right),$$

- **Assume** formula gives AdS corrections $A(S, T) = A^{(0)} + \frac{A^{(1)}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$
- Also expand tree CFT data (single trace operators, $\delta = 1, 2, \dots$):

$$\tau(\delta, \ell) = \tau_0(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} + \tau_1(\delta, \ell) + \tau_2(\delta, \ell) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} + \dots,$$

$$\lambda_{\tau, \ell}^2 = \frac{f(\delta, \ell)}{4^{\tau(\delta, \ell)} \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \tau(\delta, \ell)\right)}, \quad f(\delta, \ell) = f_0(\delta, \ell) + \frac{f_1(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/4}} + \frac{f_2(\delta, \ell)}{\lambda^{1/2}} + \dots$$

- Apply to block expansion: $A(S, T) \sim \sum_{\ell, \delta} \lambda_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{\tau(\delta), \ell}^{(i)}(S, T)}{\lambda^{i/4}}.$

Worksheet ansatz for AdS correction

- **Assume** that $A^{(1)}(S, T)$ given by worldsheet integral:

$$A^{(1)}(S, T) = \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} \sum_i \frac{p_i(S, T)}{U} \mathcal{L}_i(z) + \text{crossed}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_i(z)$ are weight 3 single-valued multiple polylogs (SVMPLs), bc:
 - Low energy expansion gives single valued zeta functions, e.g. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5)$ (but not $\zeta(2)$), as expected for tree level closed strings.
 - Weight 3 SVMPLs lead to degree 4 poles in S in $A^{(1)}(S, T)$, matches poles in block expansion.
- $p_i(S, T)$ are polynomials of degree s.t. get right powers of S, T in low energy expansion.
- Comparing this ansatz to block expansion, uniquely fixes coefficients of polynomials, i.e. first AdS correction to VS!

Worksheet ansatz for AdS correction

- **Assume** that $A^{(1)}(S, T)$ given by worldsheet integral:

$$A^{(1)}(S, T) = \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} \sum_i \frac{p_i(S, T)}{U} \mathcal{L}_i(z) + \text{crossed}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_i(z)$ are weight 3 single-valued multiple polylogs (SVMPLs), bc:
 - Low energy expansion gives single valued zeta functions, e.g. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5)$ (but not $\zeta(2)$), as expected for tree level closed strings.
 - Weight 3 SVMPLs lead to degree 4 poles in S in $A^{(1)}(S, T)$, matches poles in block expansion.
- $p_i(S, T)$ are polynomials of degree s.t. get right powers of S, T in low energy expansion.
- Comparing this ansatz to block expansion, uniquely fixes coefficients of polynomials, i.e. first AdS correction to VS!

Worksheet ansatz for AdS correction

- **Assume** that $A^{(1)}(S, T)$ given by worldsheet integral:

$$A^{(1)}(S, T) = \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} \sum_i \frac{p_i(S, T)}{U} \mathcal{L}_i(z) + \text{crossed}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_i(z)$ are weight 3 single-valued multiple polylogs (SVMPLs), bc:
 - Low energy expansion gives single valued zeta functions, e.g. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5)$ (but not $\zeta(2)$), as expected for tree level closed strings.
 - Weight 3 SVMPLs lead to degree 4 poles in S in $A^{(1)}(S, T)$, matches poles in block expansion.
- $p_i(S, T)$ are polynomials of degree s.t. get right powers of S, T in low energy expansion.
- Comparing this ansatz to block expansion, uniquely fixes coefficients of polynomials, i.e. first AdS correction to VS!

Worksheet ansatz for AdS correction

- **Assume** that $A^{(1)}(S, T)$ given by worldsheet integral:

$$A^{(1)}(S, T) = \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} \sum_i \frac{p_i(S, T)}{U} \mathcal{L}_i(z) + \text{crossed}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_i(z)$ are weight 3 single-valued multiple polylogs (SVMPLs), bc:
 - Low energy expansion gives single valued zeta functions, e.g. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5)$ (but not $\zeta(2)$), as expected for tree level closed strings.
 - Weight 3 SVMPLs lead to degree 4 poles in S in $A^{(1)}(S, T)$, matches poles in block expansion.
- $p_i(S, T)$ are polynomials of degree s.t. get right powers of S, T in low energy expansion.
- Comparing this ansatz to block expansion, uniquely fixes coefficients of polynomials, i.e. first AdS correction to VS!

Worksheet ansatz for AdS correction

- **Assume** that $A^{(1)}(S, T)$ given by worldsheet integral:

$$A^{(1)}(S, T) = \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} \sum_i \frac{p_i(S, T)}{U} \mathcal{L}_i(z) + \text{crossed}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_i(z)$ are weight 3 single-valued multiple polylogs (SVMPLs), bc:
 - Low energy expansion gives single valued zeta functions, e.g. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5)$ (but not $\zeta(2)$), as expected for tree level closed strings.
 - Weight 3 SVMPLs lead to degree 4 poles in S in $A^{(1)}(S, T)$, matches poles in block expansion.
- $p_i(S, T)$ are polynomials of degree s.t. get right powers of S, T in low energy expansion.
- Comparing this ansatz to block expansion, uniquely fixes coefficients of polynomials, i.e. first AdS correction to VS!

Worksheet ansatz for AdS correction

- **Assume** that $A^{(1)}(S, T)$ given by worldsheet integral:

$$A^{(1)}(S, T) = \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} \sum_i \frac{p_i(S, T)}{U} \mathcal{L}_i(z) + \text{crossed}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_i(z)$ are weight 3 single-valued multiple polylogs (SVMPLs), bc:
 - Low energy expansion gives single valued zeta functions, e.g. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5)$ (but not $\zeta(2)$), as expected for tree level closed strings.
 - Weight 3 SVMPLs lead to degree 4 poles in S in $A^{(1)}(S, T)$, matches poles in block expansion.
- $p_i(S, T)$ are polynomials of degree s.t. get right powers of S, T in low energy expansion.
- Comparing this ansatz to block expansion, uniquely fixes coefficients of polynomials, i.e. first AdS correction to VS!

Worksheet ansatz for AdS correction

- **Assume** that $A^{(1)}(S, T)$ given by worldsheet integral:

$$A^{(1)}(S, T) = \int d^2z |z|^{-2S-2} |1-z|^{-2T-2} \sum_i \frac{p_i(S, T)}{U} \mathcal{L}_i(z) + \text{crossed}.$$

- $\mathcal{L}_i(z)$ are weight 3 single-valued multiple polylogs (SVMPLs), bc:
 - Low energy expansion gives single valued zeta functions, e.g. $\zeta(3), \zeta(5)$ (but not $\zeta(2)$), as expected for tree level closed strings.
 - Weight 3 SVMPLs lead to degree 4 poles in S in $A^{(1)}(S, T)$, matches poles in block expansion.
- $p_i(S, T)$ are polynomials of degree s.t. get right powers of S, T in low energy expansion.
- Comparing this ansatz to block expansion, uniquely fixes coefficients of polynomials, i.e. first AdS correction to VS!

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet.
- Superblock expansion given by [Dolan, Osborn '02] .
 - Only long multiplets in singlet of $SU(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
 - Lowest dimension $\ell = 0$ single trace multiplet called the Konishi.
- Scaling dimensions of spin ℓ single trace operators computed from integrability for first three AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{5/4}$, using quantum spectral curve [Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov, Valatka '14] .
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first three orders, i.e. the $D^6 R^4$ term at $1/\lambda^3$ [SMC, Pufu '20] .

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet.
- Superblock expansion given by [Dolan, Osborn '02] .
 - Only long multiplets in singlet of $SU(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
 - Lowest dimension $\ell = 0$ single trace multiplet called the Konishi.
- Scaling dimensions of spin ℓ single trace operators computed from integrability for first three AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{5/4}$, using quantum spectral curve [Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov, Valatka '14] .
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first three orders, i.e. the $D^6 R^4$ term at $1/\lambda^3$ [SMC, Pufu '20] .

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet.
- Superblock expansion given by [Dolan, Osborn '02] .
 - Only long multiplets in singlet of $SU(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
 - Lowest dimension $\ell = 0$ single trace multiplet called the Konishi.
- Scaling dimensions of spin ℓ single trace operators computed from integrability for first three AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{5/4}$, using quantum spectral curve [Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov, Valatka '14] .
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first three orders, i.e. the $D^6 R^4$ term at $1/\lambda^3$ [SMC, Pufu '20] .

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet.
- Superblock expansion given by [Dolan, Osborn '02] .
 - Only long multiplets in singlet of $SU(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
 - Lowest dimension $\ell = 0$ single trace multiplet called the Konishi.
- Scaling dimensions of spin ℓ single trace operators computed from integrability for first three AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{5/4}$, using quantum spectral curve [Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov, Valatka '14] .
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first three orders, i.e. the $D^6 R^4$ term at $1/\lambda^3$ [SMC, Pufu '20] .

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet.
- Superblock expansion given by [Dolan, Osborn '02] .
 - Only long multiplets in singlet of $SU(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
 - Lowest dimension $\ell = 0$ single trace multiplet called the Konishi.
- Scaling dimensions of spin ℓ single trace operators computed from integrability for first three AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{5/4}$, using quantum spectral curve [Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov, Valatka '14] .
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first three orders, i.e. the $D^6 R^4$ term at $1/\lambda^3$ [SMC, Pufu '20] .

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet.
- Superblock expansion given by [Dolan, Osborn '02] .
 - Only long multiplets in singlet of $SU(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
 - Lowest dimension $\ell = 0$ single trace multiplet called the Konishi.
- Scaling dimensions of spin ℓ single trace operators computed from integrability for first three AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{5/4}$, using quantum spectral curve [Gromov, Levkovich-Maslyuk, Sizov, Valatka '14] .
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first three orders, i.e. the $D^6 R^4$ term at $1/\lambda^3$ [SMC, Pufu '20] .

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$, match τ of lowest regge trajectory to integrability:

$$\tau(\ell/2 + 1, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4} - \ell - 2 + \frac{3\ell^2 + 10\ell + 16}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- Can also get OPE coefficient to same order, matches result from numerical bootstrap+integrability+localization in strong coupling regime [Caron-Huot, Coronado, Zahraee '24] .
- Get low energy expansion of correlator to $D^4 R^4$ order, matches bootstrap+localization. Combine all methods to get unprotected tree $D^8 R^4 \sim \zeta(7)$ for first time!

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$, match τ of lowest regge trajectory to integrability:

$$\tau(\ell/2 + 1, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4} - \ell - 2 + \frac{3\ell^2 + 10\ell + 16}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- Can also get OPE coefficient to same order, matches result from numerical bootstrap+integrability+localization in strong coupling regime [Caron-Huot, Coronado, Zahraee '24] .
- Get low energy expansion of correlator to $D^4 R^4$ order, matches bootstrap+localization. Combine all methods to get unprotected tree $D^8 R^4 \sim \zeta(7)$ for first time!

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$, match τ of lowest regge trajectory to integrability:

$$\tau(\ell/2 + 1, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4} - \ell - 2 + \frac{3\ell^2 + 10\ell + 16}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- Can also get OPE coefficient to same order, matches result from numerical bootstrap+integrability+localization in strong coupling regime [Caron-Huot, Coronado, Zahraee '24].
- Get low energy expansion of correlator to $D^4 R^4$ order, matches bootstrap+localization. Combine all methods to get unprotected tree $D^8 R^4 \sim \zeta(7)$ for first time!

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$, match τ of lowest regge trajectory to integrability:

$$\tau(\ell/2 + 1, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4} - \ell - 2 + \frac{3\ell^2 + 10\ell + 16}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell + 4}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- Can also get OPE coefficient to same order, matches result from numerical bootstrap+integrability+localization in strong coupling regime [Caron-Huot, Coronado, Zahraee '24].
- Get low energy expansion of correlator to $D^4 R^4$ order, matches bootstrap+localization. Combine all methods to get unprotected tree $D^8 R^4 \sim \zeta(7)$ for first time!

ABJM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ susy.
- Superblock expansion given by [Binder, SMC, Jerdee, Pufu '20], long multiplets are $SO(6)_R$ singlets, but differ by parity and \mathcal{Z} :
 - $\ell = 0$: Both \mathcal{Z} even, $n = 1, 2$ for parity even and odd.
 - ℓ odd: Just one block \mathcal{Z} and parity even.
 - $\ell > 0$ even: $n = 1, 2, 3$, parity and \mathcal{Z} even, parity and \mathcal{Z} odd, and parity odd but \mathcal{Z} even.
- Scaling dimensions of odd spin and $\ell = 0$ \mathcal{Z} even single trace operators computed from integrability for first two AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{3/4}$ [Bombardelli, Cavaglia, Conti, Tateo '18].
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first order, i.e. the R^4 term at $1/\lambda^{3/2}$ [Binder, SMC, Pufu '19].

ABJM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ susy.
- Superblock expansion given by [Binder, SMC, Jerdee, Pufu '20], long multiplets are $SO(6)_R$ singlets, but differ by parity and \mathcal{Z} :
 - $\ell = 0$: Both \mathcal{Z} even, $n = 1, 2$ for parity even and odd.
 - ℓ odd: Just one block \mathcal{Z} and parity even.
 - $\ell > 0$ even: $n = 1, 2, 3$, parity and \mathcal{Z} even, parity and \mathcal{Z} odd, and parity odd but \mathcal{Z} even.
- Scaling dimensions of odd spin and $\ell = 0$ \mathcal{Z} even single trace operators computed from integrability for first two AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{3/4}$ [Bombardelli, Cavaglia, Conti, Tateo '18].
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first order, i.e. the R^4 term at $1/\lambda^{3/2}$ [Binder, SMC, Pufu '19].

ABJM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ susy.
- Superblock expansion given by [Binder, SMC, Jerdee, Pufu '20], long multiplets are $SO(6)_R$ singlets, but differ by parity and \mathcal{Z} :
 - $\ell = 0$: Both \mathcal{Z} even, $n = 1, 2$ for parity even and odd.
 - ℓ odd: Just one block \mathcal{Z} and parity even.
 - $\ell > 0$ even: $n = 1, 2, 3$, parity and \mathcal{Z} even, parity and \mathcal{Z} odd, and parity odd but \mathcal{Z} even.
- Scaling dimensions of odd spin and $\ell = 0$ \mathcal{Z} even single trace operators computed from integrability for first two AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{3/4}$ [Bombardelli, Cavaglia, Conti, Tateo '18].
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first order, i.e. the R^4 term at $1/\lambda^{3/2}$ [Binder, SMC, Pufu '19].

ABJM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ susy.
- Superblock expansion given by [Binder, SMC, Jerdee, Pufu '20], long multiplets are $SO(6)_R$ singlets, but differ by parity and \mathcal{Z} :
 - $\ell = 0$: Both \mathcal{Z} even, $n = 1, 2$ for parity even and odd.
 - ℓ odd: Just one block \mathcal{Z} and parity even.
 - $\ell > 0$ even: $n = 1, 2, 3$, parity and \mathcal{Z} even, parity and \mathcal{Z} odd, and parity odd but \mathcal{Z} even.
- Scaling dimensions of odd spin and $\ell = 0$ \mathcal{Z} even single trace operators computed from integrability for first two AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{3/4}$ [Bombardelli, Cavaglia, Conti, Tateo '18].
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first order, i.e. the R^4 term at $1/\lambda^{3/2}$ [Binder, SMC, Pufu '19].

ABJM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ susy.
- Superblock expansion given by [Binder, SMC, Jerdee, Pufu '20], long multiplets are $SO(6)_R$ singlets, but differ by parity and \mathcal{Z} :
 - $\ell = 0$: Both \mathcal{Z} even, $n = 1, 2$ for parity even and odd.
 - ℓ odd: Just one block \mathcal{Z} and parity even.
 - $\ell > 0$ even: $n = 1, 2, 3$, parity and \mathcal{Z} even, parity and \mathcal{Z} odd, and parity odd but \mathcal{Z} even.
- Scaling dimensions of odd spin and $\ell = 0$ \mathcal{Z} even single trace operators computed from integrability for first two AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{3/4}$ [Bombardelli, Cavaglia, Conti, Tateo '18].
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first order, i.e. the R^4 term at $1/\lambda^{3/2}$ [Binder, SMC, Pufu '19].

ABJM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ susy.
- Superblock expansion given by [Binder, SMC, Jerdee, Pufu '20], long multiplets are $SO(6)_R$ singlets, but differ by parity and \mathcal{Z} :
 - $\ell = 0$: Both \mathcal{Z} even, $n = 1, 2$ for parity even and odd.
 - ℓ odd: Just one block \mathcal{Z} and parity even.
 - $\ell > 0$ even: $n = 1, 2, 3$, parity and \mathcal{Z} even, parity and \mathcal{Z} odd, and parity odd but \mathcal{Z} even.
- Scaling dimensions of odd spin and $\ell = 0$ \mathcal{Z} even single trace operators computed from integrability for first two AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{3/4}$ [Bombardelli, Cavaglia, Conti, Tateo '18].
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first order, i.e. the R^4 term at $1/\lambda^{3/2}$ [Binder, SMC, Pufu '19].

ABJM correlator

- Consider correlator of stress tensor multiplet with $\mathcal{N} = 6$ susy.
- Superblock expansion given by [Binder, SMC, Jerdee, Pufu '20], long multiplets are $SO(6)_R$ singlets, but differ by parity and \mathcal{Z} :
 - $\ell = 0$: Both \mathcal{Z} even, $n = 1, 2$ for parity even and odd.
 - ℓ odd: Just one block \mathcal{Z} and parity even.
 - $\ell > 0$ even: $n = 1, 2, 3$, parity and \mathcal{Z} even, parity and \mathcal{Z} odd, and parity odd but \mathcal{Z} even.
- Scaling dimensions of odd spin and $\ell = 0$ \mathcal{Z} even single trace operators computed from integrability for first two AdS corrections, i.e. to $1/\lambda^{3/4}$ [Bombardelli, Cavaglia, Conti, Tateo '18].
- Large N and λ expansion of correlator from bootstrap+localization to first order, i.e. the R^4 term at $1/\lambda^{\frac{3}{2}}$ [Binder, SMC, Pufu '19].

ABJM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$: get τ of lowest regge trajectories for each \mathcal{Z} and spin:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+1)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 8\ell + 11}{16(\ell+1)} \right) + \dots \right], \\ \tau_+^{\text{even } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 9}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right], \\ \tau_-^{\text{even } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 21}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right].\end{aligned}$$

- Match $\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell}$ and τ_+^0 to integrability, but $\tau_-^{\text{even } \ell}$ and $\tau_+^{\text{even } \ell}$ for $\ell > 0$ are new!
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

ABJM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$: get τ of lowest regge trajectories for each \mathcal{Z} and spin:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+1)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 8\ell + 11}{16(\ell+1)} \right) + \dots \right], \\ \tau_+^{\text{even } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 9}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right], \\ \tau_-^{\text{even } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 21}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right].\end{aligned}$$

- Match $\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell}$ and τ_+^0 to integrability, but $\tau_-^{\text{even } \ell}$ and $\tau_+^{\text{even } \ell}$ for $\ell > 0$ are new!
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

ABJM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$: get τ of lowest regge trajectories for each \mathcal{Z} and spin:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+1)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 8\ell + 11}{16(\ell+1)} \right) + \dots \right], \\ \tau_+^{\text{even } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 9}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right], \\ \tau_-^{\text{even } \ell} &= -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 21}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right].\end{aligned}$$

- Match $\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell}$ and τ_+^0 to integrability, but $\tau_-^{\text{even } \ell}$ and $\tau_+^{\text{even } \ell}$ for $\ell > 0$ are new!
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

ABJM: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$: get τ of lowest regge trajectories for each \mathcal{Z} and spin:

$$\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell} = -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+1)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 8\ell + 11}{16(\ell+1)} \right) + \dots \right],$$

$$\tau_+^{\text{even } \ell} = -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 9}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right],$$

$$\tau_-^{\text{even } \ell} = -\ell - \frac{3}{2} + \sqrt{2(\ell+2)}\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{6\ell^2 + 16\ell + 21}{16(\ell+2)} \right) + \dots \right].$$

- Match $\tau_+^{\text{odd } \ell}$ and τ_+^0 to integrability, but $\tau_-^{\text{even } \ell}$ and $\tau_+^{\text{even } \ell}$ for $\ell > 0$ are new!
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

AdS₃ correlator

- Consider correlator of unique half-BPS multiplet with small $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy dual to dilaton.
 - Applies identically to $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4$ for both $M_4 = K3, T^4$.
- Global superblock expansion given by [Aprile, Santagata '21], includes only long multiplets in singlet of $SO(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
- AdS/CFT dictionary depends on both RR and NS-NS fluxes:

$$\frac{R^4}{\ell_s^4} = g_s^2 N^2 + k^2 \equiv \lambda.$$

AdS₃ correlator

- Consider correlator of unique half-BPS multiplet with small $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy dual to dilaton.
 - Applies identically to $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4$ for both $M_4 = K3, T^4$.
- Global superblock expansion given by [Aprile, Santagata '21], includes only long multiplets in singlet of $SO(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
- AdS/CFT dictionary depends on both RR and NS-NS fluxes:

$$\frac{R^4}{\ell_s^4} = g_s^2 N^2 + k^2 \equiv \lambda.$$

AdS₃ correlator

- Consider correlator of unique half-BPS multiplet with small $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy dual to dilaton.
 - Applies identically to $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4$ for both $M_4 = K3, T^4$.
- Global superblock expansion given by [Aprile, Santagata '21], includes only long multiplets in singlet of $SO(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
- AdS/CFT dictionary depends on both RR and NS-NS fluxes:

$$\frac{R^4}{\ell_s^4} = g_s^2 N^2 + k^2 \equiv \lambda.$$

AdS₃ correlator

- Consider correlator of unique half-BPS multiplet with small $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy dual to dilaton.
 - Applies identically to $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4$ for both $M_4 = K3, T^4$.
- Global superblock expansion given by [Aprile, Santagata '21], includes only long multiplets in singlet of $SO(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
- AdS/CFT dictionary depends on both RR and NS-NS fluxes:

$$\frac{R^4}{\ell_s^4} = g_s^2 N^2 + k^2 \equiv \lambda.$$

AdS₃ correlator

- Consider correlator of unique half-BPS multiplet with small $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy dual to dilaton.
 - Applies identically to $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times M_4$ for both $M_4 = K3, T^4$.
- Global superblock expansion given by [Aprile, Santagata '21], includes only long multiplets in singlet of $SO(4)_R$ with even spin ℓ .
- AdS/CFT dictionary depends on both RR and NS-NS fluxes:

$$\frac{R^4}{\ell_s^4} = g_s^2 N^2 + k^2 \equiv \lambda.$$

AdS₃: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$ can read off τ of lowest regge trajectory $\delta = \ell/2$:

$$\tau(\ell/2, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} - \ell - 1 + \frac{3\ell^2 - 2\ell + 2}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- No integrability results, but instead compare to semiclassical expansion of string solution for pure RR [Beccaria, Macorini '12].
 - Assume ℓ and R-charge J are large, compute folded string solution to Green-Schwarz action, then extrapolate to finite ℓ, J :

$$E = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} + \lambda^{-1/4} \left[\frac{3\ell^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{J^2}{2\sqrt{2\ell}} + C\sqrt{2\ell} \right] + \dots$$

- Match with $J = 1$ for superdescendent, predict 1-loop $C = -\frac{1}{4}$.
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

AdS₃: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$ can read off τ of lowest regge trajectory $\delta = \ell/2$:

$$\tau(\ell/2, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} - \ell - 1 + \frac{3\ell^2 - 2\ell + 2}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- No integrability results, but instead compare to semiclassical expansion of string solution for pure RR [Beccaria, Macorini '12].
 - Assume ℓ and R-charge J are large, compute folded string solution to Green-Schwarz action, then extrapolate to finite ℓ, J :

$$E = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} + \lambda^{-1/4} \left[\frac{3\ell^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{J^2}{2\sqrt{2\ell}} + C\sqrt{2\ell} \right] + \dots$$

- Match with $J = 1$ for superdescendent, predict 1-loop $C = -\frac{1}{4}$.
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

AdS₃: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$ can read off τ of lowest regge trajectory $\delta = \ell/2$:

$$\tau(\ell/2, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} - \ell - 1 + \frac{3\ell^2 - 2\ell + 2}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- No integrability results, but instead compare to semiclassical expansion of string solution for pure RR [Beccaria, Macorini '12].
 - Assume ℓ and R-charge J are large, compute folded string solution to Green-Schwarz action, then extrapolate to finite ℓ, J :

$$E = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} + \lambda^{-1/4} \left[\frac{3\ell^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{J^2}{2\sqrt{2\ell}} + C\sqrt{2\ell} \right] + \dots$$

- Match with $J = 1$ for superdescendent, predict 1-loop $C = -\frac{1}{4}$.
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

AdS₃: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$ can read off τ of lowest regge trajectory $\delta = \ell/2$:

$$\tau(\ell/2, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} - \ell - 1 + \frac{3\ell^2 - 2\ell + 2}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- No integrability results, but instead compare to semiclassical expansion of string solution for pure RR [Beccaria, Macorini '12].
 - Assume ℓ and R-charge J are large, compute folded string solution to Green-Schwarz action, then extrapolate to finite ℓ, J :

$$E = \lambda^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{2\ell} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\frac{3\ell^{\frac{3}{2}}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{J^2}{2\sqrt{2\ell}} + C\sqrt{2\ell} \right] + \dots$$

- Match with $J = 1$ for superdescendent, predict 1-loop $C = -\frac{1}{4}$.
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

AdS₃: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$ can read off τ of lowest regge trajectory $\delta = \ell/2$:

$$\tau(\ell/2, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} - \ell - 1 + \frac{3\ell^2 - 2\ell + 2}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- No integrability results, but instead compare to semiclassical expansion of string solution for pure RR [Beccaria, Macorini '12].
 - Assume ℓ and R-charge J are large, compute folded string solution to Green-Schwarz action, then extrapolate to finite ℓ, J :

$$E = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} + \lambda^{-1/4} \left[\frac{3\ell^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{J^2}{2\sqrt{2\ell}} + C\sqrt{2\ell} \right] + \dots$$

- Match with $J = 1$ for superdescendent, predict 1-loop $C = -\frac{1}{4}$.
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

AdS₃: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$ can read off τ of lowest regge trajectory $\delta = \ell/2$:

$$\tau(\ell/2, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} - \ell - 1 + \frac{3\ell^2 - 2\ell + 2}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- No integrability results, but instead compare to semiclassical expansion of string solution for pure RR [Beccaria, Macorini '12].
 - Assume ℓ and R-charge J are large, compute folded string solution to Green-Schwarz action, then extrapolate to finite ℓ, J :

$$E = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} + \lambda^{-1/4} \left[\frac{3\ell^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{J^2}{2\sqrt{2\ell}} + C\sqrt{2\ell} \right] + \dots$$

- Match with $J = 1$ for superdescendent, predict 1-loop $C = -\frac{1}{4}$.
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

AdS₃: New results from AdS VS

- From $A^{(1)}$ can read off τ of lowest regge trajectory $\delta = \ell/2$:

$$\tau(\ell/2, \ell) = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} - \ell - 1 + \frac{3\ell^2 - 2\ell + 2}{4\lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell}} + O(\lambda^{-3/4}).$$

- No integrability results, but instead compare to semiclassical expansion of string solution for pure RR [Beccaria, Macorini '12].
 - Assume ℓ and R-charge J are large, compute folded string solution to Green-Schwarz action, then extrapolate to finite ℓ, J :

$$E = \lambda^{1/4} \sqrt{2\ell} + \lambda^{-1/4} \left[\frac{3\ell^{3/2}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{J^2}{2\sqrt{2\ell}} + C\sqrt{2\ell} \right] + \dots$$

- Match with $J = 1$ for superdescendent, predict 1-loop $C = -\frac{1}{4}$.
- Can also read off OPE coefficient to same order.

Conclusion

- Fix first correction $A^{(1)}$ to flat space for AdS_3 , AdS_4 , and AdS_5 .
- Prediction of Δ and λ^2 of lowest regge trajectory.
 - For AdS_5 matches results from integrability and bootstrap.
 - For AdS_4 compare \mathcal{Z} even Δ to integrability, but \mathcal{Z} odd Δ and all λ^2 are new predictions.
 - For AdS_3 , partially compare Δ to semiclassics, new prediction for integrability!
- Low energy expansion matches protected terms from localization for AdS_4 and AdS_5 , entirely new for AdS_3 .
 - New prediction of unprotected terms for AdS_4 and AdS_5 !

Conclusion

- Fix first correction $A^{(1)}$ to flat space for AdS_3 , AdS_4 , and AdS_5 .
- Prediction of Δ and λ^2 of lowest regge trajectory.
 - For AdS_5 matches results from integrability and bootstrap.
 - For AdS_4 compare \mathcal{Z} even Δ to integrability, but \mathcal{Z} odd Δ and all λ^2 are new predictions.
 - For AdS_3 , partially compare Δ to semiclassics, new prediction for integrability!
- Low energy expansion matches protected terms from localization for AdS_4 and AdS_5 , entirely new for AdS_3 .
 - New prediction of unprotected terms for AdS_4 and AdS_5 !

Conclusion

- Fix first correction $A^{(1)}$ to flat space for AdS_3 , AdS_4 , and AdS_5 .
- Prediction of Δ and λ^2 of lowest regge trajectory.
 - For AdS_5 matches results from integrability and bootstrap.
 - For AdS_4 compare \mathcal{Z} even Δ to integrability, but \mathcal{Z} odd Δ and all λ^2 are new predictions.
 - For AdS_3 , partially compare Δ to semiclassics, new prediction for integrability!
- Low energy expansion matches protected terms from localization for AdS_4 and AdS_5 , entirely new for AdS_3 .
 - New prediction of unprotected terms for AdS_4 and AdS_5 !

Conclusion

- Fix first correction $A^{(1)}$ to flat space for AdS_3 , AdS_4 , and AdS_5 .
- Prediction of Δ and λ^2 of lowest regge trajectory.
 - For AdS_5 matches results from integrability and bootstrap.
 - For AdS_4 compare \mathcal{Z} even Δ to integrability, but \mathcal{Z} odd Δ and all λ^2 are new predictions.
 - For AdS_3 , partially compare Δ to semiclassics, new prediction for integrability!
- Low energy expansion matches protected terms from localization for AdS_4 and AdS_5 , entirely new for AdS_3 .
 - New prediction of unprotected terms for AdS_4 and AdS_5 !

Conclusion

- Fix first correction $A^{(1)}$ to flat space for AdS_3 , AdS_4 , and AdS_5 .
- Prediction of Δ and λ^2 of lowest regge trajectory.
 - For AdS_5 matches results from integrability and bootstrap.
 - For AdS_4 compare \mathcal{Z} even Δ to integrability, but \mathcal{Z} odd Δ and all λ^2 are new predictions.
 - For AdS_3 , partially compare Δ to semiclassics, new prediction for integrability!
- Low energy expansion matches protected terms from localization for AdS_4 and AdS_5 , entirely new for AdS_3 .
 - New prediction of unprotected terms for AdS_4 and AdS_5 !

Conclusion

- Fix first correction $A^{(1)}$ to flat space for AdS_3 , AdS_4 , and AdS_5 .
- Prediction of Δ and λ^2 of lowest regge trajectory.
 - For AdS_5 matches results from integrability and bootstrap.
 - For AdS_4 compare \mathcal{Z} even Δ to integrability, but \mathcal{Z} odd Δ and all λ^2 are new predictions.
 - For AdS_3 , partially compare Δ to semiclassics, new prediction for integrability!
- Low energy expansion matches protected terms from localization for AdS_4 and AdS_5 , entirely new for AdS_3 .
 - New prediction of unprotected terms for AdS_4 and AdS_5 !

Conclusion

- Fix first correction $A^{(1)}$ to flat space for AdS_3 , AdS_4 , and AdS_5 .
- Prediction of Δ and λ^2 of lowest regge trajectory.
 - For AdS_5 matches results from integrability and bootstrap.
 - For AdS_4 compare \mathcal{Z} even Δ to integrability, but \mathcal{Z} odd Δ and all λ^2 are new predictions.
 - For AdS_3 , partially compare Δ to semiclassics, new prediction for integrability!
- Low energy expansion matches protected terms from localization for AdS_4 and AdS_5 , entirely new for AdS_3 .
 - New prediction of unprotected terms for AdS_4 and AdS_5 !

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...

Future directions

- Higher order AdS corrections, already have $A^{(2)}$ for AdS_4 and AdS_5 by inputting integrability and localization results.
- Compare predictions to integrability (e.g. \mathcal{Z} odd for AdS_4).
- Mixed RR and NSNS flux for AdS_3 (by changing worldsheet ansatz to include worldsheet parity odd terms?).
 - Pure NSNS known exactly, maybe use to get all AdS corrections?
 - $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ from large $\mathcal{N} = 4$ susy, need Ward identity.
- One more holographic CFT: 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory dual to N D3 and 4 D7+O7 [Sen '96; Aharony, Fayyazuddin, Maldacena '98].
 - AdS Veneziano on D7s computed in [Alday, SMC, Hansen, Zhong '24].
 - AdS VS in [SMC, Ferrero, Pavarini WIP], maybe AdS KLT?
 - Is this theory integrable?!? The final frontier of IGST...